arXiv:1604.06315v1 [math.DG] 21 Apr 2016

Compact spacelike surfaces in four-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime with a non-degenerate lightlike normal direction

Francisco J. Palomo* and Francisco J. Rodríguez
Dep. Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Málaga
29071-Málaga (Spain)
fjpalomo@ctima.uma.es, fjrodri@ctima.uma.es

Alfonso Romero*
Dep. Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Granada
18071-Granada (Spain)
aromero@ugr.es

Abstract

A spacelike surface in four-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime through the lightcone has a meaningful lightlike normal vector field η. Several sufficient assumptions on such a surface with non-degenerate η-second fundamental form are established to prove that it must be a totally umbilical round sphere. With this aim, a new formula which relates the Gauss curvatures of the induced metric and of the η-second fundamental form is developed. Then, totally umbilical round spheres are characterized as the only compact spacelike surfaces through the lightcone such that its η-second fundamental form is non-degenerate and has constant Gauss curvature two. Another characterizations of totally umbilical round spheres in terms of the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of η and the area of the η-second fundamental form are also given.

1 Introduction

The geometry of spacelike surfaces in 4-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime $\mathbb{L}^4$ through the future lightcone Λ is very rich and appealing. In fact, any 2-dimensional simply-connected Riemannian manifold may be isometrically immersed into Λ, [10], [12]. In particular, any Riemannian metric on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ may be realized as the induced metric of a spacelike immersion of $\mathbb{S}^2$ in $\mathbb{L}^4$ through Λ. This situation is quite different when Λ is replaced by a (non-degenerate) hypersurface of $\mathbb{L}^4$. For instance, there is no spacelike immersion of $\mathbb{S}^2$ in the unit De Sitter spacetime $\mathbb{S}^3_1 \subset \mathbb{L}^4$ such that the Gauss curvature of the induced metric satisfies $K > 1$, [3, Cor. 10]. On the other hand, the existence of an isometric immersion of an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold in $\mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ through the corresponding future lightcone has a clear geometric meaning; namely, an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional simply-connected Riemannian manifold M^n admits an isometric immersion in $\mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ through the lightcone if and only if M^n is conformally flat [4], which is a nice characterization of conformally flatness in terms of Lorentzian geometry.

Motivated in part by these results, spacelike surfaces through the lightcone in $\mathbb{L}^4$ have been studied from different viewpoints, [8], [9], [10]. Focusing our approach here, if $\psi : M^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$

*Partially supported by the Spanish MEC-FEDER Grant MTM2010-18099 and the Junta de Andalucía Regional Grant P09-FQM-4496 with FEDER funds.

is a spacelike immersion such that $\psi(M^2) \subset \Lambda$, the position vector field ψ is clearly normal and lightlike. The corresponding Weingarten operator satisfies $A_\psi = -I$, where I denotes the identity transformation, and, therefore, it provides no information on the extrinsic geometry of M^2. However, there is another lightlike normal vector field η, uniquely defined by $\langle \eta, \eta \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \psi, \eta \rangle = 1$. The Weingarten operator A_η is closely related to both intrinsic and extrinsic geometry of M^2 by the equations

$$\mathrm{tr}(A_\eta) = -K = -\langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H} \rangle,$$

where K is the Gauss curvature of the induce metric and $\mathbf{H}$ the mean curvature vector field of ψ (Section 2). Moreover, the lightlike normal vector fields ψ and η are connected to the so-called $\mathbb{S}^2$-valued Gauss maps $\mathcal{G}^F$ and $\mathcal{G}^P$, introduced in a more general context in [7], by

$$\mathcal{G}^F = \frac{1}{\psi_0}\,\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}^P = -\frac{1}{\eta_0}\,\eta,$$

where ψ_0 and η_0 are the time coordinates of ψ and η, respectively.

In that follows, given a spacelike surface M^2 in $\mathbb{L}^4$ through Λ, we will say that η is non-degenerate if the η-second fundamental form, II_η, is a non-degenerate metric on M^2. The assumption η is non-degenerate has the following geometric meanings. On the one hand, η is non-degenerate if and only if the Gauss map $\mathcal{G}^P$ is a local diffeomorphism from M^2 to $\mathbb{S}^2$. On the other hand, η is non-degenerate if and only if $\widetilde{\psi} := -\eta$ is also a spacelike immersion (Lemma 2.5). In this case, $\widetilde{\psi}$ is said to be the conjugate immersion to ψ. It is remarkable that given a non-degenerate spacelike surface through Λ, it is totally umbilical if and only if its conjugated surface is also totally umbilical (Corollary 2.8).

A compact spacelike surface M^2 in Λ must be topologically a sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$, [12]. It is then natural to wonder for some additional assumption in order to conclude that M^2 is a totally umbilical round sphere. Recall that all the totally umbilical compact spacelike immersions of $\mathbb{S}^2$ in $\mathbb{L}^4$ through Λ were explicitly constructed in [12] as follows. If ψ is such a immersion, there exist $u \in \mathbb{L}^4$, $\langle u, u \rangle = -1$, with $u_0 < 0$ and $r > 0$, such that,

$$\psi(\mathbb{S}^2) = \mathbb{S}^2(u, r) := \{\, x \in \mathbb{L}^4 \;:\; \langle x, x \rangle = 0,\ \langle u, x \rangle = r \,\}.$$

In this case, $A_\eta = -(1/2r^2)I$ and the Riemannian metric on M^2 given by $\mathrm{II}_\eta(X, Y) := -\langle A_\eta(X), Y \rangle$ has constant Gauss curvature $K^\eta = 2$, [12]. As shown in [12, Theor. 5.4] a compact spacelike surface M^2 in Λ with constant Gauss curvature is a totally umbilical round sphere in $\mathbb{L}^4$. This result gives an answer to the previous question from an intrinsic point of view. In the same philosophy of [1], [2] and [3], the maim aim of this paper is to obtain several extrinsic characterizations of the totally umbilical spacelike spheres in $\mathbb{L}^4$ among all the compact spacelike surfaces in $\mathbb{L}^4$ which factors through Λ.

When a spacelike surface M^2 in Λ is compact, the non-degeneracy of η implies that II_η is in fact Riemannian (Proposition 2.3). Our main goal here is (Theorem 4.1),

> *For a compact spacelike surface M^2 of $\mathbb{L}^4$ through Λ with η non-degenerate, the following assertions are equivalent:*
>
> 1. *M^2 is a totally umbilical round sphere,*
> 2. *The Gauss-Kronecker curvature $\mathfrak{d} = \det(A_\eta)$ is constant,*
> 3. *The Gauss curvature of the Riemannian metric II_η satisfies $K^\eta = 2$.*

Moreover, each one of these assumptions is equivalent to the constancy of the Gauss curvature of the induced metric on M^2 [12, Theor. 5.4].

In order to prove this result, our main tool will be a new formula which, for any spacelike surface of $\mathbb{L}^4$ through Λ with non-degenerate η, relates the Gauss curvature K of the induced metric, the Gauss curvature K^η of II_η and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature $\mathfrak{d}$ (Theorem 3.1). Note that this extrinsic quantity is closely related to the notion of quartic curvature $\mathcal{H}$ of the spacelike surface [7]. In fact, it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{H} = 2\mathfrak{d}$.

The paper ends with the statement of two equivalent conditions each one equivalent to each of the three previously stated (Propositions 4.3, 4.4):

Each of the three equivalent assertions above is equivalent to,

4. *The II_η-area of M^2 satisfies,* $\mathrm{area}(M^2, \mathrm{II}_\eta) = 2\pi$, *or*

5. *The first non-trivial eigenvalue, λ_1, of the Laplacian of the induced metric on M^2 satisfies,*

$$\lambda_1 = 2\,\frac{\int_{M^2}\langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}\rangle\, dA}{\mathrm{area}(M^2, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)}.$$

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{L}^4$ be the Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime, that is, $\mathbb{R}^4$ endowed with the Lorentzian metric,

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle = -(dx_0)^2 + (dx_1)^2 + (dx_2)^2 + (dx_3)^2,$$

where (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) are the canonical coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^4$. A smooth immersion $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ of a 2-dimensional (connected) manifold M^2 is said to be a spacelike if the induced metric via ψ (denoted also by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$) is a Riemannian metric on M^2.

Let ∇ and $\overline{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connections of M^2 and $\mathbb{L}^4$, respectively, and let $\nabla^\perp$ be the normal connection. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are,

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \psi_*(\nabla_X Y) + \mathrm{II}(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\nabla}_X N = -\psi_*(A_N X) + \nabla^\perp_X N,$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$ and $N \in \mathfrak{X}^\perp(M^2)$, where II denotes the second fundamental form of ψ. The shape (or Weingarten) operator A_N corresponding to N is related to II by,

$$\langle A_N X, Y\rangle = \langle \mathrm{II}(X, Y), N\rangle,$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$. The mean curvature vector field of ψ is given by $\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}_{\langle\ ,\ \rangle}\mathrm{II}$. For each $N \in \mathfrak{X}^\perp(M^2)$, the Codazzi equation gives,

$$(\nabla_X A_N)Y - (\nabla_Y A_N)X = A_{\nabla^\perp_X N}Y - A_{\nabla^\perp_Y N}X. \tag{1}$$

We denote by II_N the symmetric tensor field on M^2 defined by,

$$\mathrm{II}_N(X, Y) = -\langle A_N X, Y\rangle.$$

We will call $\det(A_N)$ the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M^2 with respect to the normal vector field N. The normal vector field N is said to be non-degenerate whenever $\det(A_N) \neq 0$ at every point $p \in M^2$, [5]. When N is non-degenerate, II_N is a semi-Riemannian metric on M^2.

We write,

$$\Lambda = \{\, v \in \mathbb{L}^4 \,:\, \langle v, v\rangle = 0,\, v_0 > 0 \,\},$$

for the future lightcone of $\mathbb{L}^4$. A spacelike surface $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ factors through the lightcone if $\psi(M^2) \subset \Lambda$. Every spacelike surface in $\mathbb{L}^4$ which factors through the lightcone must be orientable [12, Lemma 3.2]. Therefore, we can globally take a lightlike vector field $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}^{\perp}(M^2)$ with $\langle \psi, \eta\rangle = 1$.

From now on, unless otherwise was stated, we will assume $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ is a spacelike surface which factors through the lightcone. Recall briefly several local geometric properties of such a surface. Proofs for these features can be found in [12]. First, the lightlike normal vector fields ψ and η are parallel with respect to the normal connection. The corresponding Weingarten operators are given by,

$$A_\psi = -I, \qquad A_\eta = -\frac{1 + \|\nabla\psi_0\|^2}{2\psi_0^2} I + \frac{1}{\psi_0}\,\nabla^2\psi_0, \tag{2}$$

where $\nabla^2\psi_0(v) = \nabla_v(\nabla\psi_0)$ for every $v \in T_pM^2$, $p \in M^2$ and we have written ψ_0 for $x_0 \circ \psi$. Recall that the Gauss curvature for the induced metric on M^2 satisfies,

$$K = -\mathrm{tr}(A_\eta) = \langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}\rangle, \tag{3}$$

and therefore, the second fundamental form satisfies,

$$\langle \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{II}\rangle(p) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \langle \mathrm{II}(e_i, e_j), \mathrm{II}(e_i, e_j)\rangle = 2K(p),$$

where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of T_pM^2, $p \in M^2$.

We write $\mathfrak{d} = \det(A_\eta)$ for the Gauss-Kronecker curvature with respect to η. From formula (3), we arrive to the following technical result which will be useful along this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\psi : M^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. Then,*

$$4\,\mathfrak{d} \le K^2 \le 2\,\mathrm{tr}(A_\eta^2), \tag{4}$$

and one equality holds (if and only if the other one also holds) if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical.

Remark 2.2. Note that if M^2 is assumed to be compact, there exists $p_0 \in M^2$ such that equalities (4) hold at p_0. In fact, otherwise we can define two smooth functions f_1 and f_2 on M^2 with $f_1 < f_2$ and $\{f_1(p), f_2(p)\}$ are the eigenvalues of A_η at every point $p \in M^2$. Each one of the eigendirections provides a 1-dimensional distribution on M^2. Since M^2 must be a topological sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$, this is not possible.

On the contrary, the situation for noncompact complete spacelike surfaces is completely different. Consider the following isometric immersion ψ of the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{E}^2$ in $\mathbb{L}^4$ through the lightcone,

$$\psi(x, y) = (\cosh x, \sinh x, \cos y, \sin y),$$

$(x, y) \in \mathbb{E}^2$. The lightlike normal vector field η is given by $\eta(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(-\cosh x, -\sinh x, \cos y, \sin y)$. A direct computation shows $A_\eta(\partial_x) = -(1/2)\,\partial_x$ and $A_\eta(\partial_y) = (1/2)\,\partial_y$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{d} = -1/4$, $K = 0$ and $2\,\mathrm{tr}(A_\eta^2) = 1$.

As a direct consequence of (2) we get.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. If the function ψ_0 attains a maximum value at $p_0 \in M^2$, then II_η is positive definite in a neighborhood of p_0. In particular, if M^2 is compact and η is non-degenerate, II_η is a Riemannian metric.*

Remark 2.4. (a) If II_η is a Riemannian metric on M^2, we get from (3) that $K > 0$ on all M^2. (b) For a noncompact complete spacelike surface we can have even $A_\eta \equiv 0$. In fact, consider the isometric immersion ϕ of the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{E}^2$ in $\mathbb{L}^4$ given by,

$$\phi(x, y) = \Big(\frac{x^2 + y^2 + 1}{2}, \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{2}, x, y\Big).$$

Clearly $\phi(\mathbb{E}^2) \subset \Lambda$, $\eta(x, y) = (-1, -1, 0, 0)$ and therefore $A_\eta = 0$ at every point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{E}^2$.

For every spacelike immersion $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ which factors through the lightcone Λ, we consider the smooth map $\widetilde{\psi} : M^2 \to \Lambda$ given by $\widetilde{\psi} = -\eta$. In general, $\widetilde{\psi}$ fails to be an immersion (see previous Remark). In fact, for every $v \in T_pM^2$, we have that $\widetilde{\psi}_*(v) = -\overline{\nabla}_v\eta = \psi_*(A_\eta(v))$. Hence we get the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. Then, $\widetilde{\psi}$ is an immersion if and only if η is non-degenerate. In this case, the induced metric from $\widetilde{\psi}$ is Riemannian and agrees with the third fundamental form corresponding to η, that is,*

$$\widetilde{\psi}^*\langle u, v\rangle = \langle A_\eta^2(u), v\rangle,$$

for every $u, v \in T_pM^2$, $p \in M^2$.

If η is assumed to be non-degenerate, we will write $\widetilde{\psi}^*\langle\ ,\ \rangle = \mathrm{III}_\eta$ and $\widetilde{\eta}$ will denote the lightlike normal vector field coresponding to $\widetilde{\psi}$. Observe that $\widetilde{\eta} = -\psi$, in particular $\psi = \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}$. The Weingarten operators and the second fundamental form for $\widetilde{\psi}$ will represented by $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{II}}$, respectively. Note that, in general, $\widetilde{A}_{\widetilde{\eta}} = \widetilde{A}_{-\psi} \neq I$. The spacelike surface $\widetilde{\psi} : M^2 \to \Lambda$ is called the conjugated surface to ψ.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. Assume η is non-degenerate. Then we have,*

1. $\widetilde{A}_{\widetilde{\eta}} = A_\eta^{-1}$.
2. $\widetilde{\mathrm{II}}_{\widetilde{\eta}} = \mathrm{II}_\eta$.

Proof. The Weingarten equation for $\widetilde{\psi}$ can be written as follows,

$$\overline{\nabla}_v\widetilde{\eta} = -\widetilde{\psi}_*\Big(\widetilde{A}_{\widetilde{\eta}}(v)\Big) = -\psi_*\Big(A_\eta(\widetilde{A}_{\widetilde{\eta}}(v))\Big),$$

for every $v \in T_pM^2$, $p \in M^2$. On the other hand, $\overline{\nabla}_v\widetilde{\eta} = -\psi_*(v)$ and we deduce the first assertion. Now, for $u, v \in T_pM^2$,

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{II}}_{\widetilde{\eta}}(u, v) = -\langle A_\eta^2(\widetilde{A}_{\widetilde{\eta}}(u)), v\rangle = \mathrm{II}_\eta(u, v).$$

□

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. If η is non-degenerate, then,*

$$K^{\mathrm{III}_\eta} = \frac{K}{\mathfrak{d}}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. This easily follows from previous result taking into account (3) for $\widetilde{\psi}$. □

Now, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.6 give.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\psi : M^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. Assume η is non-degenerate. Then ψ is totally umbilical if and only if $\widetilde{\psi}$ is totally umbilical.*

Remark 2.9. An interesting question on spacelike surfaces which factor through the lightcone is the behavior under the effect of expansions. That is, let $\psi : M^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. For every $\sigma \in C^\infty(M^2)$, consider the inmersion $\psi_\sigma := e^\sigma \psi$. Clearly, ψ_σ factors through the lightcone and $g_\sigma = \psi_\sigma^* \langle\ ,\ \rangle = e^{2\sigma}\langle\ ,\ \rangle$, where as usual we have written $\psi^*\langle\ ,\ \rangle = \langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Therefore, ψ_σ is spacelike and the Gauss curvature K_σ of g_σ satisfies,

$$K_\sigma = \frac{K - \triangle\sigma}{e^{2\sigma}}. \tag{6}$$

Let $\eta_\sigma = e^{-\sigma}\eta$ be the lightlike normal vector field such that $\langle \psi_\sigma, \eta_\sigma \rangle = 1$. A straightforward computation from (2) gives that for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$,

$$A^\sigma_{\eta_\sigma}(X) = \frac{1}{e^{2\sigma}}\Big(A_\eta(X) + \nabla_X \nabla\sigma + \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|^2}{2}X - X\sigma \cdot \nabla\sigma\Big),$$

where $A^\sigma_{\eta_\sigma}$ denotes the Weingarten operator corresponding to η_σ with respect to the spacelike inmersion ψ_σ. Observe that (6) also achieves from $K_\sigma = -\mathrm{tr}(A^\sigma_{\eta_\sigma})$. Assume now $A^\sigma_{\eta_\sigma}$ is non-degenerate, then

$$\mathrm{II}^\sigma_{\eta_\sigma} = \mathrm{II}_\eta + d\sigma \otimes d\sigma - \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|^2}{2}\langle\ ,\ \rangle - \mathrm{Hess}^\sigma.$$

In particular, if σ is a constant $\mathrm{II}^\sigma_{\eta_\sigma} = \mathrm{II}_\eta$. The converse holds in the compact case. In fact, from $\mathrm{II}^\sigma_{\eta_\sigma} = \mathrm{II}_\eta$ we get $\triangle\sigma = 0$.

3 The Gauss curvature of II_η

Assume now II_η is a Riemannian metric on M^2. This section is devoted to obtain a formula which relates the Gauss curvature K of the induced Riemannian metric $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ and the Gauss curvature K^η of the metric II_η.

Let $\nabla^{\mathrm{II}_\eta}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of the metric II_η. The difference tensor L between the Levi-Civita connections $\nabla^{\mathrm{II}_\eta}$ and ∇ is the symmetric tensor given by,

$$L(X,Y) = \nabla^{\mathrm{II}_\eta}_X Y - \nabla_X Y,$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$. The Koszul formula [11, p. 61] for II_η, the Codazzi equation (1) and $\nabla^\perp\eta = 0$ show,

$$L(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}A_\eta^{-1}\Big[(\nabla_X A_\eta)Y\Big]. \tag{7}$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor R^η of II_η is obtained as,

$$R^\eta = R + Q_1 + Q_2,$$

where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of the induced metric and

$$Q_1(X,Y)Z = (D_X L)(Y,Z) - (D_Y L)(X,Z),$$

$$Q_2(X,Y)Z = L(Y,L(X,Z)) - L(X,L(Y,Z)),$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$. Therefore the Gauss curvature K^η satisfies,

$$2K^\eta = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(\mathrm{Ric}) + \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(\widehat{Q_1}) + \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(\widehat{Q_2}), \tag{8}$$

where $\widehat{Q_i}(X,Y) = \mathrm{tr}\{Z \mapsto Q_i(Z,X)Y\}$ and $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}$ stands for the trace of the $(1,1)$-tensor $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ defined by $\mathrm{II}_\eta(\overline{\mathcal{T}}(X),Y) = \mathcal{T}(X,Y)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\psi : M^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ such that II_η is a Riemannian metric. Then,*

$$2K^\eta = \frac{K^2}{\mathfrak{d}} + \mathrm{II}_\eta(L,L) - \frac{1}{4\mathfrak{d}^2}\,\mathrm{II}_\eta(\nabla^{\mathrm{II}_\eta}\mathfrak{d}, \nabla^{\mathrm{II}_\eta}\mathfrak{d}). \tag{9}$$

Proof. Fix $p \in M^2$ and let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis of T_pM^2 for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ which satisfies $A_\eta(e_i) = -\lambda_i e_i$ with $\lambda_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $\{w_1, w_2\}$, where $w_i = (\lambda_i)^{-1/2}e_i$, is an orthonormal basis for II_η. Taking into account (3), a direct computation shows that,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(\mathrm{Ric}) = \frac{K^2}{\mathfrak{d}}.$$

From (7), we obtain that $\mathrm{II}_\eta(L(X,Y),Z)$ is symmetric in all three variables and therefore,

$$\mathrm{II}_\eta(Q_1(X,Y)Y,X) = \mathrm{II}_\eta(Q_1(X,Y)X,Y).$$

Now, it is easily deduced that,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(\widehat{Q_1}) = 0.$$

Taking into account (7), we obtain,

$$\mathrm{II}_\eta(L(X,Y),Z) = \mathrm{II}_\eta(L(X,Z),Y),$$

for every $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$. A straightforward computation shows,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(\widehat{Q_2}) = 2\Big(\mathrm{II}_\eta(L(w_1,w_2),L(w_1,w_2)) - \mathrm{II}_\eta(L(w_1,w_1),L(w_2,w_2))\Big)$$

$$= \mathrm{II}_\eta(L,L) - \mathrm{II}_\eta(\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(L),\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(L)),$$

where

$$\mathrm{II}_\eta(L,L) = \sum_{i,j}\mathrm{II}_\eta\big(L(w_i,w_j),L(w_i,w_j)\big),$$

and

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(L) = -L(w_1,w_1) - L(w_2,w_2),$$

denotes the vector field obtained from the II_η-contraction of L.

We end the proof with an explicit expression of $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(L)$. Let $\{E_1, E_2\}$ be a local orthonormal frame for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ which satisfies $A_\eta(E_i) = -f_i E_i$ for smooth functions $f_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$ (see comment

in [1, p. 1815]). Then, $\{W_1, W_2\}$, where $W_i = (f_i)^{-1/2}E_i$, is a local orthonormal frame for II_η. Recall that $\nabla^\perp\eta = 0$. Now a direct computation shows that,

$$\langle(\nabla_X A_\eta)E_i, E_i\rangle = X(f_i),$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$, and the Codazzi equation implies,

$$X(f_i) = \langle(\nabla_{E_i} A_\eta)E_i, X\rangle. \tag{10}$$

Finally, from (10) and (7) we obtain,

$$X(\log\mathfrak{d}) = \langle(\nabla_{W_1} A_\eta)W_1, X\rangle + \langle(\nabla_{W_2} A_\eta)W_2, X\rangle$$

$$= -\mathrm{II}_\eta\Big(A_\eta^{-1}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{2}(\nabla_{W_j} A_\eta)W_j\Big), X\Big) = 2\mathrm{II}_\eta(\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(L), X).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{II}_\eta}(L) = \frac{\nabla^{\mathrm{II}_\eta}\mathfrak{d}}{2\mathfrak{d}}, \tag{11}$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 3.2. An alternative proof of this formula can be achieved, using a local computation, from [6, Exercise I.18]; compare with [3, Proppsition 3.4]. On the other hand, a key fact in order to get formula (9) has been $\nabla^\perp\eta = 0$. For an arbitrary spacelike surface in $\mathbb{L}^4$, every lightlike normal vector field η must be recurrent. That is, we have $\nabla^\perp\eta = \omega \otimes \eta$ where ω is a one form on M^2. If in addition, η is assumed to be non-degenerate, a formula relating the Gauss curvatures K and K^η can be also obtained as a wide extension of (9).

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ and assume II_η is a Riemannian metric. Then, M^2 is totally umbilical in $\mathbb{L}^4$ if and only if the Gauss-Kronecker curvature with respect to η is a constant and $K^\eta = 2$.*

Proof. Assume $A_\eta = \lambda\, I$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (9) reduces to,

$$2K^\eta = \frac{K^2}{\lambda^2}.$$

Now from (3) we get that $K^\eta = 2$. For the converse, note that (9) implies that $K^2 \leq 4\,\mathfrak{d}$, and Lemma 2.1 applies to end the proof. □

Remark 3.4. From Proposition 3.3 and Remark 2.4, every complete spacelike surface M^2 in the lightcone Λ with II_η a Riemannian metric and totally umbilical satisfies $K = 2|\lambda| > 0$. As a consequence of the classical Myers theorem, if we assume M^2 geodesically complete, M^2 must be compact, and hence a round sphere.

4 Main results

For compact surfaces, Proposition 3.3 can be improved as the following result states.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ be a compact spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. Assume η is non-degenerate. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *M^2 is a totally umbilical round sphere,*

2. *The Gauss-Kronecker curvature* $\det(A_\eta)$ *is constant,*

3. *The Gauss curvature of the Riemannian metric* II_η *satisfies* $K^\eta = 2$.

Proof. From Proposition 2.3, the metric II_η is Riemannian. If we assume M^2 is totally umbilical, Proposition 3.3 gives that $\mathfrak{d}$ is constant and $K^\eta = 2$. Assume now the Gauss-Kronecker curvature $\mathfrak{d}$ is constant. Since M^2 is a topological 2-sphere [12], we have $\mathfrak{d} > 0$. Therefore, Lemma 2.1 assures that $K \geq 2\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}$, with equality if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical. Now from Theorem 3.1,

$$2K^\eta \geq \frac{K^2}{\mathfrak{d}} \geq \frac{2K}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}}. \tag{12}$$

The area elements corresponding to $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ and II_η are related by $dA_{\mathrm{II}_\eta} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}\, dA_{\langle\,,\,\rangle}$. Hence the Gauss-Bonnet formula and (12) imply,

$$8\pi = \int_{M^2} 2K^\eta \, dA_{\mathrm{II}_\eta} \geq 2\int_{M^2} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}}\, dA_{\mathrm{II}_\eta} = 2\int_{M^2} K\, dA_{\langle\,,\,\rangle} = 8\pi.$$

We get the equality in (12) and so $K = 2\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $K^\eta = 2$. Finally, under the assumption $K^\eta = 2$, Lemma 2.1 can be rewritten as follows: $K^\eta\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}} \leq K$, again equality holds if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical. From the Gauss-Bonnet formula,

$$4\pi = \int_{M^2} K^\eta \, dA_{\mathrm{II}_\eta} = \int_{M^2} K^\eta\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}\, dA_{\langle\,,\,\rangle} \leq \int_{M^2} K\, dA_{\langle\,,\,\rangle} = 4\pi,$$

and $K^\eta\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}} = K$. □

Remark 4.2. A compact spacelike immersion ψ which factors through the lightcone Λ with constant Gauss curvature must be totally umbilical [12, Theorem 5.4]. From (5) and Corollary 2.8 it follows that ψ is totally umbilical if and only if $K/\mathfrak{d}$ is a constant.

We end the paper with the statement of two results which complement Theorem 4.1 from points of view.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ be a compact spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. Assume η is non-degenerate. Then,*

$$\mathrm{area}(M^2, \mathrm{II}_\eta) \leq 2\pi,$$

and equality holds if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical.

Proof. In Theorem 4.1 we have pointed out that $dA_{\mathrm{II}_\eta} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}\, dA_{\langle\,,\,\rangle}$ and $2\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}} \leq K$. Therefore, the result follows as a consequence of the Gauss-Bonnet formula and Lemma 2.1. □

For a compact submanifold M^n of an Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{n+p}$ there is a well-known upper bound of the first non-trivial eigenvalue λ_1 of the Laplacian of M^n called the classical Reilly formula [13]. This upper bound depends on the integral of the square length of the mean curvature vector field and the $n-$dimensional area of M^n. It was shown in [12] that the same formula does not work for any compact spacelike surface in $\mathbb{L}^4$. However,

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\psi : M^2 \to \mathbb{L}^4$ be a compact spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ. We have the following inequality,*

$$\lambda_1 \leq 2\,\frac{\int_{M^2}\langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}\rangle\, dA}{\mathrm{area}(M^2, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)}, \tag{13}$$

and equality holds if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical.

Proof. The inequality was obtained in [12] as a consequence of the Hersch inequality [**?**] taking into account (3). The equality holds in (13) if and only if M^2 has constant Gauss curvature. Now, [12, Theorem 5.4] ends the proof. □

A compact spacelike surface M^2 in the 3-dimensional de Sitter space $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ with non-degenerate second fundamental form is totally umbilical if and only if the Gauss curvature K^{II} of its second fundamental form is constant, [3]. A key tool in order to get this result is the Gauss formula $K = 1 - \det(A)$ where K and A are the Gauss curvature and the Weingarten operator of M^2, respectively. This relationship permits to obtain a formula which relates K and K^{II} and involves different ingredients of (9). This makes that the technique in [3] does not work in order to show that a compact spacelike surface in Λ, with K^η a constant, must be totally umbilical. Note that from Theorem 4.1, this assertion is in fact equivalent to the following one: if K^η is a constant for such a spacelike surface, then $K^\eta = 2$. At the moment the authors have no argument to support this assertion, although we think that it holds true.

Note that M^2 compact, η non-degenerate and K^η constant imply $K^\eta \geq 2$. To prove this fact, take a point $q_0 \in M^2$ where the function $\mathfrak{d}$ attains its maximum value. From Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 we deduce that, $2K^\eta \geq K^2(q_0)/\mathfrak{d}(q_0) \geq 4$.

In view of the previous discussion, we state the following

Conjecture. *Every compact spacelike surface in $\mathbb{L}^4$ which factors through the lightcone Λ such that η is non-degenerate and K^η =constant must be totally umbilical (that is, $K^\eta = 2$).*

References

[1] J.A. Aledo, L.J. Alías and A. Romero, A new proof of Liebmann classical rigidity theorem for surfaces in space forms, *Rocky Mt. J. Math.*, **35** (2005), 1811–1824.

[2] J.A. Aledo, S. Haesen and A. Romero, Spacelike surfaces with positive definite second fundamental form in $3D$ spacetimes, *J. Geom. Physics*, **57** (2007), 913–923.

[3] J.A. Aledo and A. Romero, Compact spacelike surfaces in the 3-dimensional de Sitter space with non-degenerate second fundamental form, *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, **19** (2003), 97–111.

[4] A. Asperti and M. Dajczer, Conformally flat Riemannian manifolds as hypersurfaces of the light cone, *Can. Math. Bull.*, **32** (1989), 281–285.

[5] B.Y. Chen, *Geometry of Submanifolds*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.

[6] L.P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian Geometry*, 6th Edition, Princeton Univ. Press, 1996.

[7] M. Kossowski, The S^2-valued maps and split total curvature of a spacelike codimension-2 surface in Minkowski space, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **40** (1989), 179–192.

[8] H.L. Liu, Surfaces in lightlike cone, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **325** (2007), 1171–1181.

[9] H.L. Liu and S. D. Jung, Hypersurfaces in lightlike cone, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **58** (2008), 913–922.

[10] H. Liu, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, The duality of conformally flat manifolds, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.*, **42** (2011), 131–152.

[11] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.

[12] F.J. Palomo and A. Romero, On spacelike surfaces in 4-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime through a lightcone, to appear in *P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A Mat.*

[13] R.C. Reilly, On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space, *Comment. Mat. Helvetici*, **52** (1977), 525–533.